THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

&

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K. C. BHANU

-

WRIT PETITION No.23674 OF 2005

Dated: 8.11.2005

Between:

1 Smt. Thayaramma, W/o. Harinadha Rao, Dornakal, Warangal District & others.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Sub-Inspector of Police, Dornakal Police Station, Dornakal, Warangal District & others.

Respondents.

HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

&

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K. C. BHANU

-

WRIT PETITION No. 23674 of 2005

ORDER: (Per Hon'ble Smt. Justice T. Meena Kumari)

This writ petition is taken up on the basis of two fax messages dated 27.10.2005 sent by the petitioners herein. The 1st petitioner has sent the fax message stating that on 26.10.2005, her niece, Suraneni Sailaja sent a petition to the Hon'ble the Chief Justice about sexual harassment by the Sub-Inspector of Police, Dornakal which was reported in the newspapers stating that three Congress party leaders and S.I. of Dornakal have forcibly took away her niece, Suraneni Sailaja from her house to compromise the case and she requests that necessary action be initiated in the matter. The 2nd petitioner has sent the fax message stating that on 26.10.2005 two unidentified persons came to her and forcibly took her signatures on two blank papers and alleged that those persons gave the report to the press that Sub-Inspector of Police, Dornakal has physically and sexually harassed her. She states that the said report is false and therefore sought for appropriate legal action to be initiated against those persons who have defamed her in the press.

A counter affidavit has been filed by the Sub-Inspector of Police, Dornakal Police Station, Dornakal, Warangal District, the 1st respondent herein, wherein he denied the allegation that he had sexually harassed the 2nd petitioner. He has also

stated that in the fax message sent by the 2nd petitioner, she herself denied the allegation that she was sexually harassed by him and stated that the allegations made against him are all false and concocted and were made only to defame him.

In view of the averments made in the counter affidavit, we do not find any reason to interfere in the matter.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

However, if the petitioners are still aggrieved, they are at liberty to avail the remedies as are open to them under law.

_____

T. Meena Kumari,J

Date: 8.11.2005

_____

K.C. Bhanu,J

pnb